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Abstract. In the present study, the research was focused on analyzing long-term trends and variability of annual and seasonal air mean temperatures and some parameters related to cold and hot extreme temperatures, based on relevant continuous temperature data (1961-2018 period) provided by three regional weather stations (Cluj-Napoca, Deva and Miercurea-Ciuc). The Mann-Kendall test was used in order to find statistically-significant trends, while the magnitude of trends was estimated using Sen’s slope estimator method. The main results showed a significant increase of mean air temperature, especially in the summer season and for indicators related to hot extreme temperatures. Generally, our findings are consistent with the current global warming and previous study results on temperature variability in Romania. Anyway by comparing our results with those from the other similar studies performed in Romania, it could be observed that the climate became warmer in the study area, so the trend in annual mean and seasonal air temperature has intensified and statistical significance of the results has significantly increased. Also, the intensification of the „urban heat island” effect could be highlighted in the larger cities, like Cluj-Napoca. This increasing trend entails significant impacts on society, economy and natural ecosystems, which is expected to intensify in the near future.

1. Introduction
A lot of atmospheric processes, phenomena and natural hazards are closely linked to variability and trends of temperature. Rising air temperature may have different effects on different aspects of human life, especially on human settlements, agricultural products, energy consumption, etc. (Pitcar & Ristoiu,
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The changes that occur in temperature are important in understanding the general climate structure (Atilgan, et al., 2017) and also for identifying the most suitable planning adaptation strategies in a given area (Marin, et al., 2014). The significant rapid increasing in air temperature, particularly observed in last decades throughout the world is the most obvious evidence of climate change. The increase of mean temperature over the last century has been largely demonstrated and presented in many studies focused on different regions of the world (Croitoru and Piticar, 2014).

Based on the results of the 5th Climate Change Assessment Report prepared by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2013) the global surface temperature data as calculated by a linear trend show a warming of 0.85°C, over the period 1880 to 2012. In the northern hemisphere, the 1983-2012 period was most likely the warmest 30-year period of the last 1400 years, considering an average degree of confidence (IPPC, 2013, Arghiuș et al., 2017). According to existing data and studies, in the past 100 years, the average annual air temperature increased by 0.8°C in Romania (MEWS 2015), being consistent with the observed global and European warming pattern (Arghiuș, 2015). Therefore, in recent years, a lot of studies on climate change have focused on the trend analyses of the temperature parameters (Atilgan, et al, 2017). In Romania, also, a lot of research studies on this topic have been carried out in the last years (Piticar and Ristoiu, 2012, Prăvălie, 2014, Piticar and Ristoiu, 2014, Marin et al., 2014, Dumitrescu et al., 2015, Bojariu, et al., 2015, Bărbulescu and Deguenon, 2015, Arghiuș, et al., 2015, Chitu, et al., 2015, Arghiuș et al., 2016, Machidon, 2017).

In this study, the research was focused on analyzing the trends and variability in the time series of air temperature parameters in the lower areas of central part of Romania, a historical region known as Transylvania. This kind of regional studies and also periodic updated temperature trend analysis could provide up-to-date valuable information for stakeholders in order to choose the most appropriate adaptation measures in the context of regional warming and changes in the derived-hazards, mostly in those related to water-cycle.

2. Data and methodology

Daily mean (TG), maximum (TX) and minimum (TN) air temperature data from three representative weather stations in the study region (Cluj-Napoca, Deva and Miercurea-Ciuc), covering a relevant period of 58 year-range (1961-2018), were used in order to perform this study (Figure 1). On January, 1961, the weather stations network in Romania was greatly reorganized and there were only few data missing from that point on, while the routine observation practices and timetable of the stations considered for this study have not
changed until the end of the period (Croitoru and Piticar, 2014). The temperature daily data (blended time series version) were obtained through the European Climate Assessment & Dataset (ECA&D) platform (Klein Tank et al., 2002). The selected daily temperature raw data have been processed in order to obtain monthly, seasonal and annual mean temperature and some indicators related to cold and hot extreme temperatures.

The research methodology followed the next steps:
- analysing daily data in order to detect any inhomogeneity and errors;
- computing monthly, seasonal and annual mean air temperatures and parameters related to cold and hot extreme temperatures;
- achieving trend graphs by using Microsoft Excel;
- applying MAKESENS methodology (Mann-Kendall test for trend and Sen’s slope estimator).

The MAKESENS is a non-parametric statistical method that facilitates trend determination in the data series (Atilgan et al., 2017). The Mann-Kendall test is applicable to the detection of a monotonic trend of a time series with no
seasonal or other cycle. The Sen’s method uses a linear model for the trend. These methods offer many advantages: missing values are allowed and data needed do not have to follow any particular distribution. In addition, single data errors of outliers do not significantly affect Sen’s method (Salmi et al., 2002). The purpose of the conditional Mann-Kendall test use is to check whether the trend in the time series of a dependent variable is statistically determined by the trend in the time series of the covariates (Piticar and Ristoiu, 2014). These methods, which are used here in their basic forms, are widely used to detect changes in climatic data series because it is robust to outliers and does not assume an underlying probability distribution of the data series (Moberg et al., 2002, cited by Croitoru and Piticar, 2014).

Mann-Kendall test was applied to 9 time series (annual and seasonal mean air temperature and 4 parameters relating to cold and hot extreme temperatures), corresponding to each of three weather stations. In our study, three significance levels are used (0.05, 0.01, and 0.001), considering the trends statistically significant when $\alpha \leq 0.05$ (95% confidence level).

3. Results and discussions
3.1. Annual and seasonal air temperature trends
The results obtained by applying the MAKESENS methodology show that annual and seasonal mean air temperature highlights a significant increasing trend in the study area.

Regarding annual mean air temperature at all weather stations a statistically significant increasing trend (0.001 level) can be noticed (Table 1, Figure 2), while the temperature increasing gradient range between 0.27-0.40 °C/decade. Similar to the global trend, the high increasing rates are related to the warming acceleration process which can be observed in the late 80s and especially in the last years as shown in the Figure 2.

Although the air temperature is significantly increasing all over the Romania, there are some places that have been affected more than others. A particular case is the one associated with the Cluj-Napoca weather station, where the temperature trend line shows a steeper slope compared to the other selected stations. This is an explainable situation considering the close connection between steeper warming and the effect of the non-climatic factor like topographic surfaces (Hasler et al., 2015), mainly by transformation of the natural landscape in the big city (Subarna, 2017). Specifically, this could be attributed to the rapid growth of built-up areas and building density in the last years over a large territory around this old weather station. Only in terms of housing, the official statistics data show that over 40,000 houses were built between 2006-2018 in Cluj Napoca city and close-surrounding localities (Florești, Baciu, Apahida, Chinteni
villages), mainly in Florești village (about 20,000 houses). On the other hand, the localities to which the other stations belong (i.e. Deva and Miercurea-Ciuc) are much smaller in terms of inhabitants and also increasing of the areas affected by the construction was significantly lower. So, there are clear evidences that the temperatures in Cluj-Napoca is influenced by the effect of „urban heat island” and it can be simply demonstrated by comparing the summer temperature trend data at all stations, prior to 2006 and after this time frame (Table 2). The reason for the summer season selection is obvious in this context, because the „urban heat island” effect is most clearly expressed in this season.

Table 1. Trends in annual and seasonal mean air temperature (1961-2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weather station</th>
<th>Altitude (m a.s.l.)</th>
<th>Temperature trend slope (1961-2018) (°C/decade)</th>
<th>annual</th>
<th>spring</th>
<th>summer</th>
<th>autumn</th>
<th>winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cluj-Napoca</td>
<td>410</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.40***</td>
<td>0.38***</td>
<td>0.52***</td>
<td>0.25**</td>
<td>0.43***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deva</td>
<td>231</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.32***</td>
<td>0.32***</td>
<td>0.47***</td>
<td>0.19*</td>
<td>0.35**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miercurea-Ciuc</td>
<td>661</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.27***</td>
<td>0.27**</td>
<td>0.39***</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.29*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ‘’*’, ‘’**’ and ‘’***’ indicate significance at α = 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 level

Figure 2. Graph showing the trends in annual mean air temperature (1961-2018)

Table 2. Comparative data regarding summer temperature trend

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weather station</th>
<th>Summer temperature trend slope (1961-2018) (°C/decade)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1961-2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluj-Napoca</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deva</td>
<td>0.23**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miercurea-Ciuc</td>
<td>0.20*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ‘’*’, ‘’**’ and ‘’***’ indicate significance at α = 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 level
Seasonally, Sen’s slope estimator indicated the highest positive slope of the trend line in case of the summer season (0.39-0.52°C/decade), with statistically significant level at 0.001 at all weather stations (Figure 3). A relevant warming has been also identified in spring (0.22-0.38°C/decade). Also in winter, all stations recorded trends with stronger gradients than in the spring season (0.29-0.43°C/decade), but with lower statistical significance. Positive slopes also prevail in the case of autumn, but highlight only a slightly increasing slope and in some cases are statistically insignificant (i.e. Miercurea-Ciuc) (Figure 3).

3.2. Hot and cold extreme temperature indicators

In order to perform an in-depth analysis of temperature trends, it was also the most common parameters related to hot and cold extreme temperatures based on fixed thresholds (summer, tropical, frost and severe frost days). During the last decade, these indicators were widely used to assess climate changes of the extreme temperature for different regions of the world (Croitoru and Ristoiu, 2014).

Following the data from Table 3 and Figure 4, it can be observed that there is a clear evidence of climate warming. So the summer characteristic days have increase at all stations, while those characteristic to the cold season have had a downward trend. Anyway, the statistically significance is higher to those related to summer season, where throughout a positive trend line slope with statistically significant level at 0.001 it was noticed.

It is worth noting again the results obtained for the Cluj-Napoca station, where for all the analyzed parameters were reported trends with very high and
high level of significance, highlighting once again the effect of the „urban heat island”.

Table 3. Trends in hot and cold extreme temperature indicators (1961-2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weather station</th>
<th>Altitude (m a.s.l.)</th>
<th>Trend slope (1961-2018) (no./decade)</th>
<th>Hot extreme temperature indicators</th>
<th>Cold extreme temperature indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Summer days (TX≥25 ºC)</td>
<td>Tropical days (TX≥30 ºC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Frost days (TN≤0ºC)</td>
<td>Severe frost days (TN≤-10ºC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluj-Napoca</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>5.88***</td>
<td>3.33***</td>
<td>-4.10**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deva</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>5.33***</td>
<td>4.59***</td>
<td>-4.14**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miercurea-Ciuc</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>5.60***</td>
<td>1.25***</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: “*”, “**” and “***” indicate significance at α = 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 level

Figure 4. Graph showing the trends in hot (top) and cold (bottom) extreme parameters (Cluj-Napoca, 1961-2018)

Beside the general pattern of annual and seasonal trends are consistent with those reported in other studies for this region (Croitoru and Piticar, 2014, Arghiuș, et.al., 2015) and other part of Romania, trends with higher significance
levels can be highlighted. Also could be observed that the climate became warmer in the study area, so the trend in annual mean and seasonal air temperature has intensified, including in autumn season, that doesn’t indicate clear trends in the past studies.

Conclusions

Generally, our up-to-date findings are consistent with other similar studies on temperature trends performed across Romania. Thus, the annual air temperature presents significant increasing trends at all stations. The most important increase in the mean seasonal air temperature has proven to be in the summer season. Anyway by comparing our results with those similar from the other studies from Romania, it could be observed that the climate became warmer in the study area, so the trend in annual mean and seasonal air temperature has intensified and statistical significance of the results has significantly increased. Also, the intensification of the „urban heat island” effect could be highlighted in the larger city, like Cluj-Napoca. This kind of studies and also periodic updated temperature trend analysis could provide up-to-date valuable information for stakeholders in order to choose the most appropriate adaptation measures in the context of global and regional warming.
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